

1 **Important Information for Tentative Rulings and Hearings:**

- 2
- 3 1. Please review and follow the Tentative Ruling Instructions which can be found on the Court’s website
- 4 using the following link: <https://sf.courts.ca.gov/divisions/unified-family-court/ufc-tentative-rulings>.
- 5 2. If you wish to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, you must notify the other party
- 6 (unless there is a restraining order in place) and the Court Clerk in the Department where the hearing
- 7 is scheduled of your objection by 4:00 PM the Court day prior to the hearing date. Court days do not
- 8 include Court holidays, Saturdays, or Sundays. The Court’s Holiday Schedule can be found on the
- 9 Court’s website using the following link: [https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-](https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-schedules)
- 10 [schedules](https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-schedules).
- 11 3. To contact the Court Clerk in Dept. 403 to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your
- 12 case, please call (415) 551–3741 or send an email to Department403@sftc.org.
- 13 4. To contact the Court Clerk in Dept. 404 to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your
- 14 case, please call (415) 551–3744 or send an email to Department404@sftc.org.
- 15 5. When you contact the Court Clerk to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, please
- 16 specify the paragraph(s) and / or line number(s) of the Tentative Ruling which contains the language
- 17 to which you object.
- 18 6. You may appear at your hearing either (a) in-person; (b) by video; or (c) by phone. Pursuant to SFLR
- 19 11.7(D)(4), if you choose to appear by video or phone, you must be continuously connected to Zoom
- 20 from 8:50 a.m. until 12:00 p.m. or until your hearing is concluded. If you fail to appear in-person, by
- 21 video, or phone, the Court may proceed with the hearing in your absence. The Court is not required to
- 22 contact you before your hearing.
- 23 7. If you choose to appear by video or by phone, you must comply with the Notice and Instructions for
- 24 Remote Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth below.
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

**SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT
UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
NOTICE AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR REMOTE APPEARANCES**

You may appear at your court hearing either (1) in-person or (2) remotely by video or telephone. If you fail to appear in-person or remotely by video or telephone, the court may proceed with the hearing in your absence. *The clerk will NOT contact you.* Remote appearances by video or telephone can be made utilizing the **ZOOM** platform, **effective January 2, 2024:**

- If you are *joining by video*, go to www.zoom.com/join and follow the instructions below:
 - Type in the Meeting ID (*see below for department Meeting IDs and Passcodes*) and click "Join".
 - Click "Launch Meeting" then "Open zoom.us".
 - Zoom will launch and you will be asked for the Meeting Passcode. *Enter the passcode for your Meeting ID for the respective department for your court hearing.*
 - Enable your camera and click "Join".
 - Once you join, a prompt to use computer audio will appear, click "Join with Computer Audio".
 - **Enter your full first and last name TO IDENTIFY YOURSELF TO THE COURT.**
 - Using headphones may help you hear more clearly.
- If you are *joining by phone*, dial 1-(669)254-5252 or 1-(669)216-1590 and enter the Meeting ID and Passcode as described below.

**Department 403
Meeting ID: 161 463 0304
Passcode: 114482**

You can also log into your hearing **directly** using the link below:
<https://sftc-org.zoomgov.com/j/1614630304?pwd=OTZlcVZaQlRYWXPfQ2hTaEFuZnhIZz09>

**Department 404
Meeting ID: 161 305 3325
Passcode: 282709**

You can also log into your hearing **directly** using the link below:
<https://sftc-org.zoomgov.com/j/1613053325?pwd=SkdXWGVkQkowckJSNnJwSSSttYkR6dz09>

When you join the hearing on Zoom:

1. **You are to mute your audio when you are not speaking.**
2. State your name before you speak for proper identification to the court and for all the parties in your case. Only one person **MUST** speak at a time.

PROHIBITION ON RECORDING: Do not record the hearing in any way. Any recording of a court proceeding, *including screen shots, other visual or audio copying* of the hearing, is **prohibited**. Any violation is punishable to the fullest extent under the law, including but not limited to monetary sanctions up to \$1,000, restricted entry to future hearings, or other sanctions deemed appropriate by the court. For more information

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,)
7 Petitioner) Case Number: FCS-11-347054
8 VS.) Hearing Date: March 26, 2024
9 RUSZELL P SULTAN,) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM
10 Respondent) Department: 403
11) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA
12)

13 REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF CHANGE OF CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION
14 (PARENTING TIME), IN THE ALTERNATIVE, ORDER SHORTENING TIME FOR HEARING RE
15 MOTION FOR CHILD CUSTODY & VISITATION; FATHER TO IMMEDIATELY RETURN CHILD
16 TO MOTHER'S CARE

17 **TENTATIVE RULING**

18 **Appearances required. The parties may appear in-person, by video, or by phone. If a party chooses**
19 **to appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for Remote**
20 **Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.**

21 1. This matter is on calendar for Other Party Mother's Request for Order filed on January 11, 2024
22 requesting sole legal and sole physical custody and an order that Father return the minor child, Russan
23 (DOB: 7.25.08) to Hawaii where he resides with his Mother and attends school.

24 2. Mother currently has sole legal custody and joint physical custody and the Father has a
25 reasonable right to visitation pursuant to the Stipulation and Order entered into on September 15, 2013.

26 3. Russan lives in Hawaii and came to San Francisco for winter break with Father. Father canceled
27 the trip home asserting that Russan told him Mother was abused by her boyfriend in front to the child.
28 Mother strongly denies the hearsay allegations.

29 4. She asserts Russan is a straight A student and that Father is homeless and has a criminal record.

1 5. On January 11, 2024 Father filed his responsive pleading alleging physical and mental abuse of
2 the minor by the boyfriend. Father requests sole legal and sole physical custody.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 RODNEY VICTOR GLOVER JR,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 NICOLE HSU,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FMS-21-387370

)
) Hearing Date: March 26, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 403

)
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA
)
)
)

11
12 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND AFFIDAVIT FOR CONTEMPT

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 **Appearances required. The parties may appear in-person, by video, or by phone. If a party chooses**
15 **to appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for Remote**
16 **Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.**

17
18 Mother to bring a completed income and expense declaration to the hearing, so the Court can determine
19 eligibility for appointment of contempt counsel.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 REBECCA KIMEE-RENAE SCOTT,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 JOEL BRADFORD SCOTT,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FDI-12-777216

)
) Hearing Date: March 26, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 403

)
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA
)
)

11
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF CHANGE OF VISITATION (PARENTING TIME),
13 ORDER SHORTENING TIME

14 **TENTATIVE RULING**

15 **Appearances required. The parties may appear in-person, by video, or by phone. If a party chooses**
16 **to appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for Remote**
17 **Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.**

18
19 This matter is on the calendar for review of the status of CPS referral and child's admission to Edgewood.
20 Appearances Required.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 SHEVANN ELAINE HARDEN,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 MAURICE JAMES EDWARD STEUBEN,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FDI-17-787866

)
) Hearing Date: March 26, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 403

)
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA
)
)

11
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER OF CHANGE OF VISITATION (PARENTING TIME)

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 **Appearances required. The parties may appear in-person, by video, or by phone. If a party chooses**
15 **to appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for Remote**
16 **Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.**

17
18 1. This matter is on calendar for review after hearing on January 4, 2024 concerning the selection of
19 a custody evaluator arising out of Respondent Father's Request for change of visitation filed on
20 September 29, 2023. See FOAH filed on February 1, 2024.

21 2. The current custody order is joint legal and joint physical custody of the minor children, Peyton
22 (DOB: 5.25.16) and Maurice (DOB: 9.17.09). See the FOAH filed August 13, 2021 after a long cause
23 hearing. Mother currently lives in Texas with the minor children.

24 3. On March 14, 2024 Father filed an update declaration requesting modification of the visitation
25 order. Father requests visitation during the entire summer in San Francisco. He also requests Mother to
26 follow the August 13, 2021 order regarding alternating Holiday and School break schedules.

27 4. On January 4, 2023 the Court ordered, among other things, that Father would have telephone calls
28 with the children without interference by Mother and that Father would visit the children once a month in
29 January, February and March in Texas.

1 5. Father advises that the calls are going well except that Mother listens into the calls. There were no
2 in person visits in Texas despite the January court order allowing visitation. He states he is going through
3 a divorce with his current wife, Andrea Butler and cannot afford the cost of travel, accommodations and
4 activities associated with visitation. He wants visitation in San Francisco and the parents to split the cost
5 of air fare.

6 6. Father states that he moved out of the house he shared with Ms. Butler and currently lives with
7 his mother and she is happy to have the children stay at her home during the summer. He requests the
8 parties to share the cost of airfare to and from San Francisco.

9 7. Petitioner Mother filed her update declaration on March 18, 2024. Mother states Father is
10 coercing the children as to what to say regarding visitation. She requests that visitation only take place in
11 Texas. She contends that Father is not reliable. He did not show up for visitation as he was allowed to do.
12 Mother is asking for visitation to be restricted to Texas and that father must provide proof of his itinerary
13 and accommodations if the children are to have overnight visits. She also wants an order that Ms. Butler
14 will not be present during any visits.

15 8. This matter is on calendar for review of the status of selection of a custody evaluator. Mother
16 states she cannot afford to pay for a custody evaluation.

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 ANGE MORAIS,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 STEPHAN MORAIS,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FDI-17-788842

)
) Hearing Date: March 26, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 403

)
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA
)
)

11
12 REVIEW HEARING

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

16 1. This matter is on calendar for review of the results of the substance abuse testing orders as set
17 forth in the parties Stipulation and order dated December 18, 2023 as related to the orders regarding
18 visitation with the minor child, Felix (DOB: 7.4.14).

19 2. Father has temporary sole legal and sole physical custody and Mother is subject to random testing
20 pursuant to the stipulation and order.

21 3. On March 11, 2024 Father filed his update declaration indicating Mother has tested positive for
22 both drugs and alcohol and failed to submit a fingernail test. Father requests the court to keep in place the
23 orders as set forth in detail in the Stipulation and Order referenced above. Father also raises concern that
24 Mother participates in school activities and questions whether her presence on campus should be
25 restricted.

26 4. Mother has not filed an update declaration.

27 5. Mother shall not attend any school functions if she has taken any illegal drugs or consumed
28 alcohol within 12 hours before attendance.
29

1 6. The Stipulation and Order filed on December 18, 2023 shall remain in full force and effect and
2 there will be no changes in custody and visitation at this time.

3 7. This matter will be continued for a review hearing on July 25, 2024 again to consider (a) Ange's
4 compliance with the terms of the stipulation and (b) whether a step-up to a weekend overnight is
5 appropriate. Mother shall follow the terms of the parties' stipulation.

6 8. Counsel for Father shall prepare the order.

7 9. **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within
8 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel
9 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other
10 party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the
11 court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a
12 proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 OMID TALAI,)

7 Petitioner)

8 VS.)

9 NAWZANEEN TALAI,)

10 Respondent)

) Case Number: FDI-18-789062

) Hearing Date: March 26, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA
11)

12 OTHER REVIEW HEARING

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

16 **Custody/Visitation**

17 1. On February 2, 2024 Petitioner Father filed a request for temporary emergency orders regarding
18 child custody and an order that the minor children, Darian (DPB: 2.1.15) and Daylan (DOB: 11.11.16),
19 shall not be in the presence of Arnulfo Medina, Respondent Mother's live-in partner.

20 2. On February 13, 2024 Respondent Mother filed her responsive declaration. Respondent requests
21 the Court to maintain the child custody agreement as outlined in the Marital Agreement without any
22 additional measures. She states her children have and always have been safe when in her custody. She has
23 and will continue to make all necessary efforts to co-parent with the petitioner out of the best interest of
24 her children. No harm or injury has occurred to her children, and she is confident that they will continue
25 to be safe while in her physical custody.

26 3. On March 26, 2024 the Court had an intercounty telephone conference with the Hon. Rosendo
27 Padilla regarding the pending DV matter in San Mateo County Superior Court involving Mr. Medina. It
28 was noted the judge approved of Ms. Talai to supervise the visitation with the two minor children from
29 Mr. Mendoza's family pending the long cause hearing currently set for May 21, 2024.

1 4. The Court is not aware that there have been any safety issues since the hearing on February 16,
2 2024. See the FOAH filed on February 23, 2024. Mother filed an update declaration confirming the
3 children remain safe and healthy.

4 5. The orders currently in effect including the requirement that Mr. Medina shall not be left alone
5 with either of the Talai children and that Ms. Talai must be present at all times Mr. Medina is in the
6 presence of the Talai children shall remain in full force and effect.

7 6. This custody and visitation issue shall be set for review on June 27, 2024 to consider that status of
8 visitation. The parties shall file and serve update declarations ten days before the update hearing.

9 7. All other orders not inconsistent with this order shall remain in full force and effect.

10 The Court shall prepare the order.

11 **Support**

12 **A. Procedural History**

13 1. The matter is continued from 1/4/2024, in which the parties were ordered to comply with local
14 rules and file and serve updated income and expense declarations and statement of support calculations.

15 **B. Findings and Orders**

16 1. The matter is ordered off calendar. Father failed to file proof of service of his Financial Statement
17 and the supporting documentation for his request. Page two of the Financial Statement (Simplified) FL-
18 155 instructs that Father was to file with the court a copy of “each of [his] stubs for the last two months.”
19 Father was also to serve his most recent federal tax return upon Mother and lodge his return with the
20 Court prior to the hearing, which he also failed to do.

21 The Court will prepare the order.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 HANG LE,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 JEFFREY LEE,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FDI-18-789472

)
) Hearing Date: March 26, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 403

)
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA
)
)
)

11
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF REQUEST FOR ORDER :

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:
16

- 17 1. This matter is on for review of the status of Rally visitation as ordered. See the FOAH filed on
18 February 29, 2024.
- 19 2. On March 15 2024 Mother filed her update declaration.
- 20 3. On March 20, 2024 Mr. Lee filed his update declaration. Attached to his declaration is proof of
21 participation in 12 hours of anger management classes and 12 hours of co-parenting classes completed on
22 January 19, 2024 through the North American Learning institute.
- 23 4. On March 20, 2024 the Court received a report from Rally Family Visitation Services confirming
24 both parents have signed up for Rally visitation and they are in the process of being scheduled for
25 supervised visitation.
- 26 5. The Court notes that Father wishes to have the Court terminate the restraining order. The request
27 is denied at this time. Mr. Le is urged to consult with counsel or the ACCESS center to address this issue.
28
29

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

6. The also notes Father did not participate in mediation as scheduled for March 5, 2024. The Parties are required to participate in mediation before the next court hearing. The parties shall communicate with Family Court Services to schedule mediation at least 30 days before the next court hearing.

7. This matter shall be continued for further review of the status of Rally visitation on July 18, 2024. Both parties shall file and serve update declarations no later than ten days before the hearing regarding the status of Rally visitation.

8. All prior orders not inconsistent with this order shall remain in full force and effect.

9. The Court shall prepare the order.

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 DAVID JOHN NICHOLSON,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 ABBY BREITMAN NICHOLSON,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FDI-21-794960

)
) Hearing Date: March 26, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 403

)
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA
)
)
)

11
12 OTHER REVIEW HEARING

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

16 **A. Procedural History**

17 1. The matter is continued from 11/7/2023 and is on for a review hearing of Mother's work search
18 efforts only. The parties stipulated to continue the review hearing from 2/15/2024 to 3/26/2024.

19 2. On 3/13/2024, Father filed an Update Declaration requesting the Court impute Mother with an
20 income of \$9,600 per month, for Mother to continue sharing her work logs monthly, and for the Court to
21 set a review hearing a year from now to access and increase Mother's income. Father states Mother is not
22 demonstrating any significant effort to grow her self-employment income and she ignored the courts
23 orders with respect to both hours spent seeking work and timely sharing of work logs.

24 3. On 3/15/2024, Mother files an Update Declaration requesting the Court update support
25 calculations and orders for 2024 and deny Father's request for Mother to provide ongoing work search
26 logs.

27 4. Between 3/20/2023 and 3/22/2023, the parties filed several late filings.

28 **B. Findings and Orders**
29

1 1. Mother's request for support recalculation and orders is denied as beyond the scope of the review
2 hearing. Mother may file a request for order for any modification she is seeking. In the alternative, the
3 parties may choose to meet and confer to try and come to an agreement on support calculations and file a
4 stipulation with the Court.

5 2. Father's request that Mother be imputed at a higher income is denied at this time after careful
6 consideration of the factors under Family Code section 4058(b)(2).

7 3. Mother is to comply with the attached work search order and shall increase the hours she spends
8 looking for work from 10 hours per week, to 20 hours per week. Additionally, Mother's logs must be
9 timely provided to Father and shall not include hours Mother worked, only the hours spent seeking work.
10 The attached work search order instructs that Mother is to spend a minimum of 20 hours per week in job
11 search related activities and apply for 10 jobs per week and to keep a written log of those efforts.

12 4. The parties are to return to Court on 6/27/2024 at 9:00AM in Dept. 403 to review Mother's work
13 search efforts.

14 5. Counsel for Father shall prepare the order.

15 6. **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within
16 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel
17 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other
18 party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the
19 court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a
20 proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 JOSE E HERRERA,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 GIOCONDA HERRERA,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FDI-22-796326

)
) Hearing Date: March 26, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 403

)
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA
)
)

11
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER: ENTRY OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT; LISTING AND SALE OF MARITAL
13 RESIDENCE

14 **TENTATIVE RULING**

15 **A. Procedural History**

16 1. The matter is continued from 1/4/2024. Parties were ordered to file update declarations regarding
17 their participation in the joint neutral appraisal process for the sale of the marital residence at 2075
18 Thomas Avenue, San Francisco.

19 2. No updates were filed.

20 **B. Findings and Orders**

21 1. The matter is ordered off calendar for failure to comply with court orders.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
UNIFIED FAMILY COURT

PREM B GHARTI,

Petitioner

VS.

NAINA DANGI,

Respondent

) Case Number: FDI-23-798072

) Hearing Date: March 26, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA

REQUEST FOR ORDER TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT JUDGMENT

TENTATIVE RULING

Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the Court makes the following findings and orders:

A. Procedural History

1. The matter is continued from 1/9/2024 in which Respondent was ordered to serve Petitioner at his Geary St. address and to provide the Court with an MPA regarding service requirements for Nepal.

2. On 3/13/2024 Respondent filed proof of service by mail upon Petitioner at the Geary St. address.

3. On 3/18/2024, Respondent filed a memo pursuant to the 1/19/2024 court order. Respondent states that Petitioner filed a proof of service with this court, which stated that on 5/30/2023, she was personally served the petition for dissolution on Market St. in San Francisco. Respondent states she was in Nepal from 4/12/2023-6/11/2023.

Respondent states she served her RFO to set aside the default judgment upon Petitioner through the proper service of FileandservExpress on 10/16/2023, and then later went to Nepal and personally served the papers upon Petitioner. Additionally, Respondent states she followed this court's orders and served Petitioner by mail at his Geary St. address. Respondent argues that personal service of her RFO upon Petitioner in Nepal is permitted by Nepalese law.

B. Findings and Orders

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

1. Respondent’s request for judicial notice is granted.
2. Under CCP 473.5, Respondent filed a timely Request for Order to set aside the default judgment entered on 8/10/2023. Respondent’s lack of actual notice in time to defend the action was not caused by her avoidance of service or inexcusable neglect. Respondent is granted leave to file a Response to the Petition within 30 days of the Court's order.
3. Counsel for Respondent shall prepare the order.
4. **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 JESSICA CRUZ,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 DOUGLAS E DIXON,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FDV-14-811232

)
) Hearing Date: March 26, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 403

)
) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA
)
)
)

11
12 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND AFFIDAVIT FOR CONTEMPT

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 **A. Procedural History**

15 1. On for hearing is Mother's 1/9/2024 OSC and affidavit for contempt.

16 **B. Findings and Orders**

17 1. The matter is ordered off calendar as Mother failed to file proof of personal service.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29